A. CALL TO ORDER
   1. Roll Call
   2. Approval of Minutes
   3. Approval of Mid Month COAs Granted by Staff

B. MID MONTH APPROVALS

1. Applicant: Ricky Vickers
   a. Property Address: 206 State St.
   b. Date of Approval: 08/03/09

2. Applicant: Ricky Vickers
   a. Property Address: 210 State St.
   b. Date of Approval: 07/31/09
   c. Project: Repair and stabilize foundation posts at southwest corner of front porch. Repair and replace front porch columnar pedestals, matching existing in profile, dimension, and material. Replace skirt board of front porch. Repair and replace all rotten woodwork. Repair lunette in west facing gable. Replace broken window panes. Replace skirting board on rear elevation. All work to match existing in profile, dimension, and material.

3. Applicant: Atmore Pettway
   a. Property Address: 910 Savannah St.
   b. Date of Approval: 08/03/09

4. Applicant: Ray Floyd
   a. Property Address: 1500 Government St.
   b. Date of Approval: 07/29/09
   c. Project: Affix reverse channel LED sign to gable of building on location of existing signage.

5. Applicant: Samantha Spurlock for Presley Roofing
   a. Property Address: 1671 Government St.
   b. Date of Approval: 07/28/09
   Project: Reroof to match the existing shingles.

6. Applicant:
   a. Property Address: 208 State St.
   b. Date of Approval: 08/03/09
   c. Project: Repair and stabilize foundations of front porch. Repair and replacing siding and architectural details of porch to match existing in profile, scale, dimension, and material. Repair and replace porch decking and supports. Paint house per submitted color scheme. Reroof house with Timberline shingles.

7. Applicant: Tom Warren
   a. Property Address: 2250 DeLeon St.
   b. Date of Approval: 07/29/09
   c. Project: Renewal of new construction COA dated July 2, 2009. It will sit on a continuous brick foundation with metal vents (the original house is situated like this but
8. Applicant: Bernadette Safford  
a. Property Address: 1506 Brown St.  
b. Date of Approval: 07/27/09  
c. Project: Repair concrete sidewalk and short drive on west side of house.

9. Applicant: Town and Country Roofing  
a. Property Address: 1107 Savannah Street.  
b. Date of Approval: 02/28/09  
c. Project: Roof garage with charcoal black shingles.

10. Applicant: Archdiocese of Mobile  
a. Property Address: 307 Conti Street.  
b. Date of Approval: 07/27/09  
c. Project: Remove damaged gates on Claiborne Street, replace with temporary wooden boards for security. ARB approval pending for permanent gates.

11. Applicant: John Switzer  
a. Property Address: 63 South Bayou St.  
b. Date of Approval: 08/03/09  
c. Project: Affix black canvas awning to façade per submitted plan. Awning measures 11’ &’ in length and projects 38” over the sidewalk.

12. Applicant: Nicholas H. Holmes, II for Christ Church Cathedral  
a. Property Address: 114 Saint Emanuel St.  
b. Date of Approval: 08/04/09  

13. Applicant: Gary Powers  
a. Property Address: 404 S. Jefferson St.  
b. Date of Approval: 08/04/09  
c. Project: Reroof house with 3-tab shingles. Repair eaves.

14. Applicant: Bobby Williams  
a. Property Address: 1114 Government St.  
b. Date of Approval: 08/03/09  

15. Applicant: James King for Alva H. Whidden  
a. Property Address: 557 Church St.  
b. Date of Approval: 07/27/09  
c. Project: Paint front porch columns white.

16. Applicant: Bailey and Sam Slaton  
a. Property Address: 2254 Ashland Place Ave.  
b. Date of Approval: 08/05/09
c. Project: Replace tongue-and-groove porch decking in kind. Paint work to match existing color scheme.

17. Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Edward Ladd  
   a. Property Address: 113 Ryan Avenue.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/06/09  
   c. Project: Repair fence to north of house.

18. Applicant: Byron Cruthirds  
   a. Property Address: 306 Charles St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/05/09  
   c. Project: Replace tongue-and-groove decking on front porch.

19. Applicant: Steve Cumbie for Lanier Construction  
   a. Property Address: 1 South Water St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/05/09  
   c. Project: Replace rotten wood on balconies on Royal Street elevation to match existing and paint to match.

20. Applicant: John Mims  
   a. Property Address: 25 South Lafayette St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/07/09  
   c. Project: Repair and replace siding and fascia. Work to match the existing in profile, dimension, and material. Paint work to match existing.

21. Applicant: Alford Jones  
   a. Property Address: 954 Conti St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/07/09  
   c. Project: Remove chain link fence. Install interior lot 6’ privacy fence, finished side on exterior, to follow submitted plan.

22. Applicant: Todd Davidson for Key Properties  
   a. Property Address: 25 South Lafayette St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/10/09  
   c. Project: Replace tongue-and-groove front porch decking where needed. Repair and replace deteriorated siding where needed. Repair dormer mouldings and siding. All work to match existing in profile, scale, dimension, and material. Paint exterior per submitted color scheme.

23. Applicant: Susan Rhodes  
   a. Property Address: 22 South Ann St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/10/09  
   c. Project: Reissue of COA from August 9, 2009. The following work is approved. Construct two car garage and storage room as per submitted plans. Note: This plan was approved by the ARB with a second level, which is now being omitted.

24. Applicant: Nick Madaloni  
   a. Property Address: 467 Dauphin St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/10/09  
   c. Project: Install TPO membrane roof, white in color. The roof will be hidden by a parapet wall.

25. Applicant: Kristopher Conlon  
   a. Property Address: 1507 Church Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/10/09  

26. Applicant: Patsy Dow  
   a. Property Address: 1056 Palmetto St.  
   b. Date of Approval: 08/10/09

C. APPLICATIONS

1. 081-09: 165 Saint Emanuel Street
   a. Applicant: Nicholas H. Holmes, III for Larry Posner
   b. Project: Infill portion of rear porch for elevator shaft.

2. 082-09: 154 S. Lawrence Street
   a. Applicant: James Twilley for Virginia Haas

3. 083-09: 307 Conti Street
   a. Applicant: Norman Pharr for the Archdiocese of Mobile
   b. Project: Gate Approval.

D. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Guidelines
2. Midmonth Approvals
3. Discussion
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

081-09-CA: 165 Saint Emanuel Street
Applicant: Nicholas H. Holmes, III for Larry Posner
Received: 08/03/09
Meeting: 08/19/09

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: H-B
Project: Porch Infill.

BUILDING HISTORY

The Hall-Ford House is one of Mobile’s most significant 19th-century residential buildings. This 1836 house illustrates the application of Georgian planning (symmetrical façade, treatment of floors) and classical detailing (Doric columns) to the traditional Creole cottage. Of equal importance, the house is also a rare surviving example of a Creole cottage with a fully finished first floor.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. This property was scheduled to appear before the Board on June 3, 2009. The application was withdrawn. Since that time, Staff has working closely with the applicant. The applicant returns with revisions to previously approved plans for a rear addition. The approved rear addition is an extension of the two-story northeast ell of the house. The addition continues the faux ashlar ground floor treatment of the first-story walls and the clapboard clad treatment of the second story walls. The two-tiered gallery will be continued along the south elevation. The proposed revision involves the construction of an elevator shaft that would infill the majority of the end bay of the south facing gallery extension.

B. The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and the Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state in pertinent part:

1. “New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would unimpaired.”

2. “The porch is an important regional characteristic of Mobile architecture. Historic porches should be maintained and preserved to reflect their period. Particular attention should be paid to handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts/columns, proportions and decorative details.”

3. “The form and shape of the porch and its roof should maintain their historic appearance. The materials should blend with the style of the building.”
4. “Where rear or side porches are to enclosed one recommended method is to preserve the original configuration of columns, handrails, and other important architectural details.”

C. Scope of Work (per submitted plan):
   1. Construct elevator shaft in eastern most bays of the previously approved addition’s two-tiered gallery (Per Submitted Plan).
      A. Infill to measure 6’ 9” by 5’ 8”.
      B. First-story walls of infill to be faced with stucco to replicate faux ashlar finish of the rest of the first story.
      C. Second-story walls of infill to be faced with wood clapboards that match in profile, dimension, and material the clapboarding of the second story.
      D. South elevation of infill to hold a door.
      E. Two first floor doors of the previously approved plans to be relocated for elevator infill.
      F. One second floor door of the previously approved plans to be relocated for elevator infill.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The main house of the Hall Ford complex maintains its unaltered L-shaped mass. The approved plans, though adding to the rear ell, retained the relationship between the open space of the galleries and the enclosed space of the rear ell. The proposed revision to the approved plans would alter the legibility of this key feature of the historic structure – the relationship between solid and void spaces.

Though the applicants seek to use traditional building finishes, the traditional interdependence of open porch and walled enclosure is lost. The infill of the eastern bay of the addition’s porch destroys the dialogue between the two historical design components, thus impairing the architectural and historical integrity of the house. Staff does not recommend approval of this application.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

082-09-CA: 154 S. Lawrence Street
Applicant: James Twilley for Virginia Haas
Received: 07/23/09
Meeting: 08/19/09

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Addition.

BUILDING HISTORY

This house dates from 1891. The façade treatment, a projecting gable bay to the side of recessed porch, is a design treatment common to the period and the region.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. This house serves as a law office. The building suffered fire damage in August of 2002. The proposed addition would extend from northward the downward pitch of a shed roof covering a previously enclosed porch.

B. The Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state, in pertinent part:
   1. “The goal of new construction should be to blend into the historic district, but to avoid creating a false sense of history.”
   2. “New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.”
   3. “New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.”

C. Scope of Work (Per Submitted Plans):
   1. Construct a 34’ by 11’ addition to the northwest side of house.
   2. Siding of addition will match existing siding of house in profile, dimension, and material.
   3. Roofing will match existing roofing. Roof face of shed roof to extend downward.
   4. Brick foundation piers to support addition
   5. Paint walls and trim to match existing color scheme.
   6. North elevation feature two paired units of six-over-six wood windows salvaged from the existing elevation.

Clarifications
1. Where will the salvaged windows be located?
2. What is the height of the wall?

STAFF ANALYSIS

The proposed addition is barely visible from the street. Though largely unseen, the plans leave much to conjecture. The applicant’s representative informed staff that position of the windows and the height of the walls will be determined by the applicant’s intentions for the interior. The applicant remains undecided regarding the internal layout. The height of the walls is of particular concern. The extension of the existing shed roof would alter the proportions of two elevations, thereby impairing the architectural character of the building. Staff suggests the application be tabled until a complete set of acceptable plans are submitted.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

083-09-CA: 307 Conti Street
Applicant: Norman Pharr for the Archdiocese of Mobile
Received: 08/27/09
Meeting: 08/19/09

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Church Street East
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: B-4
Project: Gate Approval.

BUILDING HISTORY

Throughout the 1830s, one-and-one-half raised cottages remained Mobile’s predominant residential typology. The circa 1834 Bishop Portier House was one of the largest in size and most elegant in appointment. The slender colonnettes framing the dormer windows, the applied tracery gracing the sidelights, and the superb arrow balusters adorning the interior stair are among the finest Federal detailing in the city.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. The Claiborne Street gates to the Bishop Portier House lot were recently vandalized. Staff issued a midmonth on July 27, 2009 which allowed the Archdiocese remove the damaged wooden gates from the drive and install temporary wooden boards for security. With this submission, the applicant brings before the Board a proposal for a permanent gate.

B. The Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state, in pertinent part:
   1. Fences “should complement the building not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”

C. Scope of Work (Per Submitted Plan):
   1. Remove temporary security boards from drive
   2. Install double iron gate over drive
      A. Gate measures 10’ in height and 7’ in height.
      B. Gate will open into the property.
      C. Gate is same design as a gate between the front yard and the walled side yard to the west of the house.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Ironwork is a traditional decorative and functional component of Mobile’s historical architecture. The proposed iron gate matches the design of an existing gate on the property. The gate does not impair the architectural or historical integrity of the house or the district. Staff recommends approval of this application.