ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD AGENDA
August 1, 2018 – 3:00 P.M.
Multi-Purpose Room, Mobile Government Plaza, 205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes from June 20, 2018.
3. Approval of MidMonth COAs Granted by Staff

B. MIDMONTH APPROVALS

1. Applicant: Linda Cashman
   a. Property Address: 251 S. Georgia Avenue
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace two second story windows to match original in materials, profile, and dimensions, and reroof bay window.

2. Applicant: Ilene Miller
   a. Property Address: 600 Eslava Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Redeck front porch, repair/replace rotten wood to match.

3. Applicant: Katheryn Butler
   a. Property Address: 1170 Fry Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace roof, repair/replace wooden siding, replace windows and doors to match in materials, profile and dimension, repaint to match.

4. Applicant: Frances Garcia
   a. Property Address: 1164 Fry Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace roof, repair/replace rotten siding, windows and doors to match original in material, profile, and dimension.

5. Applicant: Katheryn Butler
   a. Property Address: 1153 Texas Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace roof, repair/replace rotten siding, windows and doors to match original in material, profile, and dimension.

6. Applicant: Katheryn Butler
   a. Property Address: 1151 Texas Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace roof, repair/replace rotten siding, windows and doors to match original in material, profile, and dimension.

7. Applicant: Wily Butler
   a. Property Address: 1189 Texas Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/9/2018
   c. Project: Replace roof, repair/replace rotten siding, windows and doors to match original in material, profile, and dimension.

8. Applicant: Katheryn Butler
   a. Property Address: 1204 Texas Street
   b. Date of Approval: 7/10/2018
   c. Project: Repair Replace roof, repair/replace rotten siding, windows and doors to match original in material, profile, and dimension.
9. **Applicant: John Stimpson**  
   a. Property Address: 954 Texas Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/10/2018  
   c. Project: Reroof with neutral (brown, gray, black) architectural shingles. Repair columns to match one of the three columns. Repair and replace deteriorated wood siding to match existing in dimension profile, and material. Repaint in following color scheme: Body-St. Anthony Street Gray; Trim, columns, casing, windows-white; Shutters, front door and deck-Bellingrath Green; Porch Ceiling-Church Street Gray.

d.  

d.

d.

d.

d.

d.

10. **Applicant: John Stimpson**  
   a. Property Address: 952 Texas Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/10/2018  
   c. Project: Reroof with neutral (brown, gray, black) architectural shingles. Repair columns. Repair and replace deteriorated wood siding to match existing in dimension profile, and material. Repaint in following color scheme: Body-Joachim Street Beige; Trim, columns, casing, windows-white; Shutters and deck-Bellingrath Green; Porch Ceiling-Church Street Gray; Door-Claiborne Street Red.

d.  

d.

d.

d.

11. **Applicant: Jan Dabney**  
   a. Property Address: 1707 Laurel Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/11/2018  
   c. Project: Repair/replace rotten wood as necessary and repaint to match.

12. **Applicant: Margaret Damrich**  
   a. Property Address: 10 S. Catherine Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/11/2018  
   c. Project: Build three foot fence with pergola, paint; replace damaged palings on six foot privacy fence at rear, add wood gate at driveway.

13. **Applicant: Sun Coast Roofing on behalf of Barbara Esminger**  
   a. Property Address: 1716 Laurel Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/12/2018  
   c. Project: Repair and replace rafter tails on corners to match in dimension, profile, and material on main house. Replace 1x6 soffitt. Replace roof on ancillary building to match existing: asphalt shingles.

14. **Applicant: Derek Thomas of Thomas Properties**  
   a. Property Address: 7 N. Dearborn Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/12/2018  
   c. Project: Paint mural on secondary elevation depicting downtown.

15. **Applicant: HVA Properties**  
   a. Property Address: 211 S. Cedar Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/17/2018  
   c. Project: Repair/replace rotten wood, including porch ceiling, to match original, repaint to match. Repair flat roof over porch.

16. **Applicant: Bruno Cosimi**  
   a. Property Address: 158 Macy Place  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/17/2018  
   c. Project: Reroof to match existing.

17. **Applicant: Lydia Blackwell**  
   a. Property Address: 1005 Caroline Avenue  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/20/2018  
   c. Project: Roof with metal 5V crimp galvalume.
18. Applicant: Southern Hounds  
   a. Property Address: 1111 Elmira Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/23/2018  
   c. Project: Repair, replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension, and material, patch roof to match, repaint.

19. Applicant: Barja Wilson  
   a. Property Address: 1000 Old Shell Road  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/23/2018  
   c. Project: Replace rafters, decking as needed, reroof with slate gray asphalt shingles.

20. Applicant: Adam Kerian on behalf of the City of Mobile  
   a. Property Address: 104 S. Lawrence Street  
   b. Date of Approval: 7/24/2018  
   c. Project: Remove damaged fascia and soffitt and replace to match existing in dimension, profile and material. Repaint to match existing.

C. APPLICATIONS

1. 2018-23-CA: 221 Dauphin Street  
   a. Applicant: Robert Maurin on Maurin Architecture on behalf of Dr. Gene Terrezza  
   b. Project: Renovate a non-contributing building facade.

2. 2018-17-CA: 1654 Government Street  
   a. Applicant: Pamela and Jeffrey Kent  

D. OTHER BUSINESS  
   1. NAPC Conference
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
STAFF REPORT

2018-20-CA: 221 Dauphin Street
Applicant: Robert Maurin on Maurin Architecture on behalf of Dr. Gene Terrezza
Received: 7/10/2018
Meeting: 8/1/2018

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Lower Dauphin Street Commercial
Classification: Non-Contributing
Zoning: T5.2
Project: Rehabilitation and Addition Related: Conduct to the body of a historic building; demolish later non-contributing rear addition and construct new addition in same footprint; install fenestration; and instate fencing.

BUILDING HISTORY

The DeMouy building was originally constructed in 1899 by William DeMouy. The building was modernized in by J.F. Jacobson or J.F. Maury in the late 1930’s. The first story storefront was furthered altered in more recent years. Original portions of the building can be seen in the rear.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. This property last appeared before the Architectural Review Board on May 3, 1999 according to the MHDC vertical files. At that time neon lighting strips in window display were denied. The proposed scope of work includes in-kind repairs, alteration of fenestration, and construction of a gallery.

B. The Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state, in pertinent part:
   1. “Alterations to non-historic commercial buildings must be compatible with the historic district.”
   2. “Design an alteration to retain a placement and orientation that is compatible with the district.”
   3. “Design an alteration to appear similar in massing and scale with historic commercial buildings in the district.”
   4. “Use building elements that are of a similar profile and durability to those seen on historic buildings in the district.”
   5. “Use building materials that are compatible with the surrounding context.”
   6. “Maintain significant historic façades in their original form.”
   7. “Repair deteriorated building materials by patching, piecing-in, consolidating or otherwise reinforcing the material.”
8. “Where historic (wooden or metal) windows are intact and in repairable condition, retain and repair them to match the existing as per location, light configuration, detail and material.”

9. “Use contemporary details, such as window moldings and door surrounds, to create interest while expressing a new, compatible style.”

10. “Consider designing a structure using a contemporary interpretation of a historic style that is authentic to the district while ensuring that it is distinguishable as being new.”

C. Scope of Work (per submitted site plan):

1. Demolish specific façade elements.
   a. Remove steel awning frame.
   b. Remove existing aluminum storefront door on eastern portion of first floor.
   c. Remove aluminum frame windows on second floor.
   d. Remove existing downspouts.
2. Repair or replace materials in kind.
   a. Replace aluminum storefront door on eastern portion of the first floor.
   b. Repair or replace black siding to match in dimension and profile on eastern portion of first floor.
   c. Repair and replace marble panels on western portion of first floor.
   d. Repair aluminum storefront.
   e. Replace downspouts.
3. Install new doors and gallery.
   a. Install steel single lite steel doors and casing where windows previously occupied an opening in same footprint.
   b. Construct new gallery.
      i. Gallery will be 10’9” in depth and 27’8-1/2” in width.
      ii. The gallery deck will have a finished floor height of 14’9”.
      iii. Gallery will consists of 1-1/4” painted steel railing with horizontal pickets and IPE decking.
      iv. Gallery will be supported by centrally located 2” painted steel pipes and attached to building.
      v. Gallery will be circular in construction.
4. Repaint brick and steel in Sherwin Williams “Incredible White” and IPE decking in dark brown.

STAFF ANALYSIS

This application involves the renovation of a non-contributing commercial building, including in-kind repairs, alteration of fenestration, and construction of a gallery. The Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts says to reference the section on changes to non-historic commercial buildings, and new construction of commercial buildings, when looking to alter a non-contributing building in a local district. The alterations to the existing building are minimal, with the exception of a new gallery.

Elements of the existing façade will be removed and replaced. A steel awning frame, one aluminum storefront door, aluminum windows on the second floor, and downspouts will be removed. Other materials will be cleaned and repaired to match existing (See B-7). Regarding change in fenestration on the façade, the aforementioned windows will be replaced by three sets of steel doors. The doors will fit the existing windows openings and therefore maintain the current rhythm of the street.
A new gallery will be constructed. Mobile’s downtown is filled with galleries and balconies. The gallery will be contemporary in nature with its steel composition and circular form. The façade is not a pure example of any architectural style. However, at the time the façade was extensively renovated in 1937 the Art Deco and Art Moderne styles were popular for commercial buildings. The upper story’s smooth facade with horizontal emphasis is reminiscent of the art moderne style (B-11). The curved lines of the proposed gallery can be seen on finer art moderne examples such as the Red Cross Building at 853 Dauphin Street (B-10).

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Based on B (1-11), Staff does not believe this application would impair either the architectural or the historical significance of the building or the district. Staff recommends approval of this application pending approval from the Consolidated Review Committee being held on July 25th, 2018.
INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1

BUILDING HISTORY

This bungalow was designed by notable local architect C.L. Hutchisson, Sr. and constructed in 1924. Mr. Hutchisson was responsible for over 233 residence designs in Mobile; 37 in Old Dauphin Way.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Preservation Ordinance states “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds the change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. This property last appeared before the Architectural Review Board on October 24th, 1995 according to the MHDC vertical files. At that time the repair of a roof was approved. The proposed scope of work includes retaining wooden railings and planters.

B. The Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state, in pertinent part:

1. “Preserving a front porch is a high priority. A rear or side porch also may be important to preserve, especially for a building located on a corner lot, and their preservation is encouraged.”
2. “Repair a porch in a way that maintains the original character.”
3. “If replacement is required, design it to reflect the time period of the historic structure.”
4. “Where an original porch is missing entirely, base a replacement porch on physical or photographic evidence. If no evidence exists, draw from similar structures in the neighborhood.”
5. “When reconstructing a porch, pay particular attention to matching the handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts/columns, proportions and decorative details”
6. “The removal or alteration of any historic landscaping features, materials, or distinctive architectural features should be avoided.”
7. “Deteriorated architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced, wherever possible.”
8. “Distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship which characterize a building, structure or site should be treated with sensitivity with particular emphasis on preservation of the features.”
9. “Preserve an original porch or gallery on a house.”
10. “Replace a historic porch element to match the original.”
11. “Use replacement materials and elements that are appropriate to the style, texture, finish, composition and proportion of the historic structure.”
12. “When reconstructing a porch, pay particular attention to matching the handrails, lower rails, balusters, decking, posts/columns, proportions and decorative details.”
13. “Do not use a railing that is too elaborate for the building (of a different style).”
14. “(Pertaining to porch additions) “Do not use a contemporary deck railing for a porch addition placed at a location visible from the public street.”

C. Scope of Work (per submitted site plan):

1. Retain the following porch components:
   a. Wooden slats will stack to form a wooden railing.
   b. The railing will be placed between existing brick plinths and planters.
   c. The wooden planters will be constructed on top of brick plinths.
   d. A wooden stair with gate will be located on the east elevation and access the side yard.
   e. Wood will be stained.

STAFF ANALYSIS

This application involves the retention of porch railing, planters and wooden stairs with gate installed without a Certificate of Appropriateness. The application had been held over at the June 6th, 2018 ARB meeting. At that time, a Design Review Committee had been set in place for an onsite visit for further review. On June 14th Ms. Catarina Echols and Mr. Robert Allen visited the site with the owner.

The house had featured a Chippendale style pattern appropriate for the period of the building. Photographic evidence surfaced after the June 6th meeting shows a different traditional railing in place circa 1977. The latest images on file shows this Craftsman influenced Bungalow with Chippendalesque railing was in place until 2017. When replacing a porch detail, the Design Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts state that if replacement of a porch element is necessary, the replacement “the time period of the historic structure”(See B-3). The guidelines further address replacement of porch elements are to be appropriate to the style, finish and texture, composition and proportion of the historic building (See B-11). While the wood material of railings, planters, and gate is acceptable, the composition and profile of the boards is not period appropriate.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on B (1-3), Staff does believe the application as is will impair either the architectural or the historical character of the property or district. Staff recommends denial of the application as proposed.