AGENDA
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
May 24, 2004– 3:00 P.M.
Mayor’s Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza
205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair
   1. Roll Call
   2. Approval of Minutes
   3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

B. MID MONTH APPROVALS

1. Applicant's Name: Warren Bettis
   Property Address: 62 Bradford Avenue
   Date of Approval: 4/7/04 asc
   Work Approved: Install 6 ft. high dog eared privacy fence on south property line
   beginning at a point behind an existing white picket fence; install
   6 ft. gate across driveway to match design of privacy fence.
   Privacy fence and gate to be left natural to weather.

2. Applicant's Name: J. Gary Cooper
   Property Address: 1208 Palmetto
   Date of Approval: 4/12/04 jss
   Work Approved: Replace rotten wood with new materials matching existing in
   profile and dimension. Repaint house in existing color scheme.

3. Applicant's Name: Fred and Barbara South
   Property Address: 1112 Dauphin Street
   Date of Approval: 4/12/04 asc
   Work Approved: Repaint house in existing color scheme.

4. Applicant's Name: J and F Remodeling
   Property Address: 213 Levert
   Date of Approval: 4/13/04 asc
   Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match
   existing in profile and dimension. Re-roof with Timberline
   roofing materials, slate blend in color to match existing.

5. Applicant's Name: Antonio Wooten
   Property Address: 912 Savannah St.
   Date of Approval: 4/14/04 weh
   Work Approved: Re-roof with 3 tab fiberglass, charcoal black in color. Replace
   rotten wood with new materials matching existing in profile and
dimension.
6. **Applicant's Name:** Jim Walker  
**Property Address:** 470-6 Dauphin Street  
**Date of Approval:** 4/14/04 jss  
**Work Approved:** Repair damaged brick parapet on east elevation with brick to match existing using lime sand mortar mix. Re-brick openings and holes under third story window sills on east elevation. Repair or re-brick as per existing beneath entrance on west elevation at rear. Provide flashing and drip mold along parapet on west elevation.

7. **Applicant's Name:** Dan Elcan and Assoc./Clark, Geer and Latham  
**Property Address:** 213 and 219 S. Catherine  
**Date of Approval:** 4/14/04 asc  
**Work Approved:** Move two buildings from their original locations on S. Catherine Street to two lots on Etheridge as defined on the site plan for 1500 Government Street. The two houses are to be placed in line with the existing house to the north. Houses are to be placed on new brick piers and drives and sidewalks installed per the submitted plan. Two 3” diameter live oaks are to be planted at the front of each property. Any repairs are to match the original in profile, dimension and material. Lots are to be seeded and mulched after relocating structures and grading site to drain. Construct 6’ wood privacy fence along the north property line beginning 25’ from the sidewalk and extending along the back property line to the fence of the new commercial development to the south. Relocate garage to the north property matching materials as previously stated.

8. **Applicant’s Name:** 1011 Old Shell Road  
**Property Address:** Geraldine Harper/ A & G Harper Construction Co.  
**Date of Approval:** 4/16/04 weh  
**Work Approved:** Demolish non-contributing building declared unsafe by Urban Development and City Council

9. **Applicant’s Name:** Jim Wagoner & Charles Howard  
**Property Address:** 1805 Dauphin Street  
**Date of Approval:** 4/16/04 weh  
**Work Approved:** Install stamped, stained drive in place of existing concrete drive as per submitted plans. Stamp & stain to resemble cobblestone.

10. **Applicant's Name:** Bret Faircloth  
**Property Address:** 1457 Monroe Street  
**Date of Approval:** 4/16/04 weh  
**Work Approved:** Replace existing chain link fence with 6’ wood privacy fence as per submitted plan. Construct 8’ x 12’ storage building following MHDC stock plans, paint to match existing residence.
11. Applicant's Name: Katherine Lubecki  
   Property Address: 1209 Selma Street  
   Date of Approval: 4/16/04  
   Work Approved: Remove deteriorated outbuildings. Repair roof with shingles to match existing in color, profile and dimension. Remove screening from front porch. Construct section of privacy fence to enclose rear yard. Fence to match that already existing. Install iron fencing between house and existing fence on each side to enclose rear yard.

12. Applicant's Name: Daoust Contracting  
   Property Address: 1756 Hunter Avenue  
   Date of Approval: 4/19/04  
   Work Approved: Replace rotten wood on windows, siding and fascia as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Prime new materials to paint.

13. Applicant's Name: Freddie and Virginia Sigler  
   Property Address: 500 Canal Street  
   Date of Approval: 4/19/04  
   Work Approved: Construct a 6’ high wood shadowbox fence along the north property line to end 5’ from Lawrence Street and 5’ from the existing alley. Construct a 6’ high stucco-covered masonry with brick pier wall running with the east property line 5’ west of the sidewalk. Wall to have sloped cap and brick piers to have pyramidal caps.

14. Applicant's Name: Buddy Von Fosson  
   Property Address: 855 Church Street  
   Date of Approval: 4/19/04  
   Work Approved: Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in existing color scheme.

15. Applicant's Name: Haley’s Bar  
   Property Address: 278 Dauphin Street  
   Date of Approval: 4/20/04  
   Work Approved: Repaint building in existing color scheme.

16. Applicant's Name: David Naman  
   Property Address: 216 Dauphin Street  
   Date of Approval: 4/26/04  
   Work Approved: Repaint exterior building trim 790F-6A, Trail Print. Install sign as per submitted design. Sign to be double sided, totaling 18 square feet.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant's Name</th>
<th>Work Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daoust Contracting</td>
<td>Replace rotten wood on porch, spindles and columns as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Prime new materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Newell</td>
<td>Repaint house in the following BLP paint scheme:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body and door: Super White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shutters: Cypress Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Kittrell</td>
<td>Minor repair to eave and decking; install new asphalt 3 tab shingle, Onyx Black in color.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Realty</td>
<td>Replace rotten wood on west porch with new materials matching existing in profile and dimension. Paint new materials to match existing color scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Building</td>
<td>Replace termite damaged wood on fixed wood doors on rear of building with new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Paint new materials to match existing color scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Kennedy</td>
<td>Repaint house to match existing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant's Name</td>
<td>Property Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Kennedy</td>
<td>963 Palmetto Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Lamb</td>
<td>1551 Monterey Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Lamb</td>
<td>104 South Catherine Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Mizell, General Contracting</td>
<td>1111 Church Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ivy</td>
<td>1015 Old Shell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawes Contracting Co.</td>
<td>109 Beverly Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant's Name</td>
<td>Property Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendell Quimby</td>
<td>14 Semmes Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamela Powe</td>
<td>59 South Lafayette Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Walker</td>
<td>602 Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Willis</td>
<td>1417 Church Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Swell, Inc.</td>
<td>360 Chatham Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sid Harrell</td>
<td>1156 Palmetto St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Riley</td>
<td>1719 Dauphin Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ivy</td>
<td>1015 Old Shell Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
37. Applicant's Name: Robert M. Thompson  
    Property Address: 1651 Laurel Street  
    Date of Approval: 5/10/04  
    Work Approved: Construct free-standing one car garage measuring 18’ x 20’ as per MHDC stock plan. All design details, roofing, and paint to match that of the main residence.

38. Applicant's Name: Lipford Construction/Society of 1842  
    Property Address: 16 South Claiborne Street  
    Date of Approval: 5/10/04  
    Work Approved: Replace rotten flooring with materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Repair to existing wood blinds with materials matching existing in profile and dimension.

39. Applicant's Name: Summers Roofing Company  
    Property Address: 908 Palmetto  
    Date of Approval: 5/10/04  
    Work Approved: Re-roof with 3 tab fiberglass shingles, Rustic Black in color.

C. OLD BUSINESS:

1. **086-02/03-CA**  
   Applicant: Wanda Cochran  
   Nature of Request: Amend previously-approved plans to construct a 1 story residence as per submitted plans.

D. NEW BUSINESS:

1. **062-03/04-CA**  
   Applicant: Bob Smith  
   Nature of Request: Install 8’ wood dog-eared privacy fence in rear yard as per submitted site plan.

2. **063-03/04 – CA**  
   Applicant: Harold and Brenda Bolton  
   Nature of Request: Construct a 26’ x 19’ addition to rear of house and renovate existing non-original enclosure to back porch, as per submitted plans.

3. **064-03/04 – CA**  
   Applicant: Chestang Fence Co.  
   Nature of Request: Install 6’ wood privacy fence at rear of property as per submitted site plan. Install 6’ metal fence along north property line at sidewalk as per submitted site plan.

E. OTHER BUSINESS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS:

1. Discussion of Review Board Rules and Regulations  
2. Discussion of Staff Approval of 6’ wood privacy fences
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

086-02/03 – CA  Northwest corner of State and Conception Streets

Applicant: Wanda Cochran

Received: 5/7/04

Submission Date + 45 Days: 9/26/03 1) 8/25/03 2) 5/24/04 3)

Meeting Date(s):

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: DeTonti Square Historic District

Classification: Non-Contributing (vacant lot/new construction)

Additional Permits Required: (4) Building, Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing

Nature of Project: Construction of a 1 story, stucco-covered wood frame residence as per submitted plans.

The building is sited on the northwest corner of State and Conception. The lot measures 95’ by 60’. The main façade faces Conception Street. The front porch of the building located at a distance of 5’ from the sidewalk. The south side of the house is set back 5’ from the sidewalk. The proposed building is 1 story frame with traditional 3-part stucco exterior. The ground plan is L-shaped in design with an elevated rear courtyard. The proposed building has a 3’ finished floor above grade. The distance from grade to the roof ridge is 23’ at the highest point. The front porch measures 7’ deep; the rear screened porch measures 8’ deep. The proposed roof is an end gable over the main mass and a side gable over the garage. Proposed roofing material is standing seam metal.

The following are proposed building materials:

a. foundation – solid stucco-covered masonry with metal foundation vents
b. façade – true stucco
c. doors – wood
d. windows – wood double hung; wood casement
e. porch details – wood columns, wood railing
f. roof – standing seam metal

PROJECT HISTORY:
The ARB originally approved this application August 2003 (copy of Certified Record attached).

AMENDED REQUEST:
Construct a one story frame residence with stucco-covered masonry foundation, hardiplank siding, and a metal roof. In addition to material changes, the house has been reoriented to face State Street instead of Conception, with a combination stucco-covered masonry wall and iron gate/fence connected to an existing brick wall along Conception Street. An 8’ masonry wall is proposed to be constructed along the west property line.

The building is sited on the northwest corner of State and Conception. The lot measures 95’ by 60’. The main façade faces State Street. The front porch of the building located at a distance of 4’-5” from the sidewalk. The east side of the house is located at a distance of 2’ from the sidewalk. The proposed building is 1 story frame with hardiplank siding. The ground plan is L-shaped in design. The proposed building has a 3’ finished floor above grade. The distance from grade to the roof ridge is 19’ – 3 ½” at the highest point. The front porch measures 7’ deep; the rear screened porch measures 8’ deep. The proposed roof is an end gable over the main mass and a side gable over the garage. Proposed roofing material is standing seam metal.
The following are REVISED proposed building materials:
g. foundation – solid stucco-covered masonry with metal foundation vents
h. façade – hardiplank
i. doors – wood
j. windows – wood double hung
k. porch details – wood columns, wood railing
l. roof – standing seam metal

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
Guidelines for New Commercial and Residential Construction in Mobile’s Historic Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Design Standards for New Construction</td>
<td>Construct new residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, I</td>
<td>Placement and Orientation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, II</td>
<td>Massing and Scale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, III</td>
<td>Façade Elements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, IV</td>
<td>Materials and Ornamentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, IV, A</td>
<td>Appropriate Materials for New Residential Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “In the case of a proposed new building, that such building will not, in itself, or by reason of its location on the site, materially impair the architectural or historical value of the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity and that such building will not be injurious to the general visual character of the Historic District in which it is to be located.”

STAFF REPORT

3, I

I. Placement and Orientation: The guidelines state that new construction should be placed on the lot so that setback and spacing approximate those of nearby historic buildings.
   A. Setbacks in DeTonti Square range from buildings constructed at the sidewalk to buildings with a 5’-15’ setback.
   B. This is a corner lot close to the center of the neighborhood.
   C. The 3 story masonry townhouse to the north faces Conception Street and has a front setback within 3’ of the property line.
   D. The structure to the west faces State Street and has a front setback within 5’ of the property line.
   E. The proposed front setback for this building is 4’-5” from the sidewalk/property line; the proposed side setback for this building is 2’.
   F. The newly-adopted Overlay Zoning will allow the proposed setbacks.

3, II

II. Massing and Scale:

A. The guidelines state that new construction should reference the massing of forms of nearby historic buildings.
   1. 1, 1 ½ and 2 story wood frame and masonry structures are common in the DeTonti Square Historic District.
   2. The proposed building is a 1-story wood frame structure with true stucco exterior.
B. The guidelines state that new buildings should have foundations similar in height to those of nearby historic buildings.
   1. Historic buildings in DeTonti Square are constructed on piers, or are elevated above grade by a continuous foundation wall at a height of 2’-3”, and some even taller given the topography of the lot.
   2. Property covenants require new construction to be 2’-6” above grade.
   3. The proposed foundation is designed using solid stucco-covered masonry, at a height 3’ above grade.

C. The guidelines state that new construction should consider roof shapes, pitches and complexity similar to or compatible with those of adjacent historic buildings.
   1. A variety of roof shapes exist in the DeTonti Square Historic District, but the most common are simple end gables and hips.
   2. Side gabled roofs are common in the DeTonti Square Historic District.
   3. The proposed roof shape is end gable over the main mass and end gable over the garage.

III. Façade Elements:
   A. The guidelines state that new construction should reflect the use of façade elements of nearby historic buildings.
      1. The use of a single half glass and wood panel door with transom above is a common design element found throughout the Historic Districts.
      2. The use of wooden columns and simple wood porch railing is common throughout the district.
      3. MHDC Stock Rail Design 1, 1” square wood pickets mounted between 2x4s and capped with a chamfered top rail, is proposed for the front and rear porch balustrade.

IV. Materials and Ornamentation:
   A. The guidelines provide a list of appropriate materials for compatible new construction.
      1. Hardiplank is an approved siding material for use in new construction.
   B. The guidelines state that the degree of ornamentation used in new construction should be compatible with the degree of ornamentation found upon nearby historic buildings. Profiles and dimensions should be consistent with examples in the district.
      1. Examples of historic ornamentation include foundation vents and wood porch details
      2. The proposed design utilizes a single entry door and double-hung windows.
      3. The Board encourages use of modern materials and design methods in new construction.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.
INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Street Historic District
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1, Single Family Residential
Additional Permits Required: (1) Fence
Nature of Project: Construct 8’ high wood dog-eared fence at rear of property as per submitted plan.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences, Walls &amp; Gates</td>
<td>Construct wood fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:…Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…

STAFF REPORT

A. The Guidelines state that Fences “should compliment the building and no detract from it. Design, scale, placement, and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”
   1. The main structure is a one story frame residence.
   2. The proposed fencing on the sides and rear property lines are 8’ high wood dog-eared.
   3. Typically, the Design Guidelines limit wood privacy fences to 6’ in height.
   4. The Design Guidelines do allow 8’ high wood fences where residential property adjoins commercial property.
   5. The property adjacent to the rear property line is a four-plex apartment with parking in the rear.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS

063-03/04 – CA 310 West Street
Applicant:  Harold and Brenda Bolton
Received:  5/10/04
Submission Date + 45 Days:  6/25/04
Meeting Date(s):  1) 5/24/04  2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:  Leinkauf Historic District
Classification:  Contributing
Zoning:  R-1 Single Family Residential
Additional Permits Required:  (1) Building
Nature of Project:  Construct a 26’ x 19’ addition to rear of house and renovate existing non-original enclosure to back porch, as per submitted plans. All new construction to match existing exterior materials and details, including brick and stucco exterior walls, wood casement windows, tile roof, open bead board eaves and exposed rafter tails, and architectural gable brackets. Rear entrance and landing steps to reflect front brick and concrete steps. Wall recess at breakfast area link will break up massing of east elevation. Roof pitch and gables will reflect existing roof lines. The existing non-original enclosure of back porch will be replaced with glass door infill as per submitted plan. Existing landscaping will remain. New exterior painted surfaces to match existing.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>Construct Family Room Addition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:…Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. The Guidelines state that “The appropriateness of additions shall be measured by the guidelines applicable to new construction. The addition should compliment the design and scale of the main building.”
   1. The main structure is a one story stucco veneer with brick base bungalow, with an end gable terra cotta tile roof with decorative cross gable.
   2. The proposed addition is a one story stucco veneer with brick base, cross gable roof with matching terra cotta tiles.
   3. The addition occurs at a point approximately 60’ from the front of the residence. 
      a. The addition will be screened from public view by mature landscaping.
4. The proposed addition repeats the design of the existing residence by utilizing the following elements:
   a. Brick matching that on the main residence;
   b. Stucco painted to match that on the main residence;
   c. Wood casement windows matching those in the main residence;
   d. Terra cotta roof tiles matching that on the main residence;
   e. Decorative rafter tails and exposed beadboard decking

5. One mature tree, a water oak, must be removed to construct the addition.

6. A Tree Removal Clearance from Urban Forestry will be required before a Certificate of Appropriateness can be issued.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS - STAFF COMMENTS

062-03/04 – CA  63 North Georgia Avenue
Applicant:  Chestang Fence Company
Received:  4/28/04  Meeting Date (s):
Submission Date + 45 Days:  6/12/04  1)  5/24/04  2)  3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:  Old Dauphin Street Historic District
Classification:  Contributing
Zoning:  R-1, Single Family Residential
Additional Permits Required:  (1) Fence
Nature of Project:  Install 6’ wood privacy fence at rear of property as per submitted site plan. Install 6’ metal fence along north property line at sidewalk as per submitted site plan.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines for Mobile’s Historic Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences, Walls &amp; Gates</td>
<td>Construct wood fence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Construct metal fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9, STANDARD OF REVIEW, of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “The Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change:…Will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. The Guidelines state that Fences “should compliment the building and no detract from it. Design, scale, placement, and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic District.”
   1. The main structure is a two story frame residence.
   2. The proposed fencing on the side and rear property line are 6’ high wood dog-eared.
   3. The proposed fencing on the north property line is 6’ metal painted black.

Staff recommends approval of the application as submitted.