AGENDA
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
January 13, 2003 – 3:00 P.M.
Mayor’s Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza
205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER - Chair
1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff
4. Approval of Agenda

B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS:
1. 1259 Elmira Street: Alicia Farmer.
   Replace rotten wood with new matching existing in profile and dimension. Prepare and prime house for painting. Color selection to be done at a later date.
   APPROVED 11/25/02 asc

   Install signage as per submitted drawing. Double-sided oval sign to measure 1'-8" x 2'-6", mounted on 11'-2" tall, 3" diameter cast iron post painted green, and hung from scroll bracket. Sign to be painted metal, with ½" painted border. Sign to be black with white lettering.
   APPROVED 11/25/02 weh

3. 258 Roper Street: Nichole Gautier.
   Paint house the following Glidden colors:
   Body – Corinthian Column
   Trim – White
   Porch floor and lattice between piers – Metropolis
   APPROVED 11/26/02 weh

4. 255 Dexter Avenue: Joe Garret.
   Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint house in the following BLP colors:
   Body – BLP RC34 Falmonth Court
   Shutters – BLP 140-11 Black
   Trim – BLP 147 T, Gloss White
   APPROVED 11/26/02 jss

5. 1105 Savannah Street: Dr. George Sinclair/John Dendy Architect.
   Construct two car carport based on MHDC stock plan, modified to include design elements found on the main residence. Paint carport to match existing house.
   APPROVED 12/3/02 weh

6. 250 St. Anthony Street: Johanna Rogers.
   Repaint iron veranda in Bellingrah Green. Touch up trim in existing off-white color. Repaint cheeks to match body.
   APPROVED 12/3/02 jss
7. 225 Dauphin Street: Gary Cowles.
   Make necessary repairs to trusses, sistering on braces as necessary, repairing roof decking, remove
   inadequate rotten base from under HVAC and place on steel beams, put down new tar roof.
   **APPROVED** 12/3/02 jss

8. 504 Government Street: Thomas Roofing.
   Reroof flat roof on Yerby School Building.
   **APPROVED** 12/3/02 weh

9. 1316 Old Shell Road: Doright Construction Company
   Reroof house with 3 tab fiberglass shingles, weathered gray in color.
   **APPROVED** 12/6/02 asc

10. 24 South Reed Avenue: Doright Construction Company
    Replace rotten wood on front porch with new to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint
    to match existing color scheme.
    **APPROVED** 12/6/02 jdb

11. 60 Semmes Avenue: Liberty Roofing Company
    Reroof house with 3 tab fiberglass shingles, weathered gray in color.
    **APPROVED** 12/6/02 asc

12. 1658 Government Street: Eva Namphy.
    Replace rotten wood as necessary with new matching existing in profile and dimension. Repaint
    new boards to match existing color scheme.
    **APPROVED** 12/9/02 weh

13. 554 Eslava Street: Harry McCarron.
    Repaint house in the following color scheme:
    - Body – Devoe Warm Shadow
    - Trim – white
    - Shutters and porch – Bellingrath Green
    **APPROVED** 12/9/02 weh

14. 118 North Lafayette Street: David Pettway.
    Remove existing handicapped ramp at rear of property. Construct 15’ x 15’ wood terrace in
    location of present h/c ramp. Railing to be constructed using MHDC stock railing design. Repair
    garage end wall. Install 2 wood one-over-one windows.
    **APPROVED** 12/9/02 weh

15. 107 South Royal Street: Allsouth Subcontractors, Inc.
    Reroof to match existing black timberline shingles.
    **APPROVED** 12/10/02 asc
16. 207 Church Street: Robert F. Clark and Jeff Deen
Repair rear, two front windows and shutters as necessary matching the existing in profile and dimension. Paint repairs as necessary to match existing.

**APPROVED 12/11/02 jdb**

17. 201 South Georgia Avenue: Laura Adams
Repaint house in the following color scheme:
- Body – Benjamin Moore HC113, Louisburg Green
- Trim, doors and windows – Benjamin Moore Window Crème HC6
- Porch floor – Benjamin Moore Light Gray

**APPROVED 12/13/02 weh**

18. 101 South Monterey Street: David Presnell
Alter previously-approved detached garage plans to enclose 12’ bay. Siding materials, corner boards and doors to match that of the existing structure. Paint new materials to match existing.

**APPROVED 12/16/02 weh**

19. 114 Lanier Avenue: Tommy Bernhardt
Reroof with black timberline shingles.

**APPROVED 12/16/02 jss**

20. 150-164 Government Street: Dee Gamble/LaClede Hotel
Paint building the following colors:
- Body – Downing Earth, SW 2820
- Trim – Downing Sand, SW 2822
- Ironwork – Black
- Doors – Toile Red, SW 0006

**APPROVED 12/17/02 weh**

21. 1009 Dauphin Street: Wrico Signs/Salvation Army
Install 5’ tall pole sign as per submitted sign design.

**APPROVED 12/17/02 weh**

22. 1413 Monroe Street: Remove existing vinyl siding. Inspect wood to determine whether wood siding can be restored. In the event wood siding cannot be restored, install new vinyl siding, matching the existing wood siding in profile and dimension.

**APPROVED 12/19/02 weh**

23. 454 Conti Street: Charles Jones
Repair damaged 5 v-crimp roofing. Replace rafters as needed. Replace damaged siding as needed. All new materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Repaint new siding white to match existing.

**APPROVED 12/19/02 asc**
24. 170 South Georgia Avenue: Harold Allen
   Repair or replace rotten wood with new matching existing in profile and dimension. Repaint
   house in the existing color scheme:
   Body: Shadow Green
   Trim: Egyptian Antique White
   Accent: Pine Green

   APPROVED 12/20/02 jdb

25. 714 Dauphin Street: Tony Cooper, Owner/ Don Williams, Engineer
   Demolish non-historic rear additions as per ARB approval. Reconstruction plans pending ARB
   approval.

   APPROVED 12/24/02 weh

26. 266 Dauphin Street: Wendell Quimby
   Install new black asphalt shingle roof. Restore exterior to include: Remove/replace deteriorated
   wood with new wood to match existing; reveal original transom; repair glass as needed. Paint
   colors and awning colors to be submitted at a later date.

   APPROVED 12/30/02 asc

27. 1413 Monroe Street: Brian Harris
   Repaint house the following color scheme:
   Body - SW 2869 Sage
   Trim and Shutters – Classical White 2829
   Foundation trim Black or Bellingrath Green

   Repair or replace rotten wood as necessary with new wood matching existing in profile and
   dimension.

   APPROVED 12/30/02 asc

C. PUBLIC HEARING

1. Old Applications:

   004-02/03 – CA 714 Dauphin Street
   Applicant: Tony Cooper, Owner/Don Williams, Engineer
   Nature of Project: Amend previously approved restoration plans to alter entrance
   locations.

2. New Applications:

   018-02/03-CA 1738 Hunter Avenue
   Applicant: Arthur and Karen Green
   Nature of Project: Construct 4’ picket fence at rear of property; construct
   raised treated wood deck, approximately 12’ x 26’ as per
   submitted plans, complete with steps, handrail and lattice
   wood skirting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Street Address</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Nature of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>019-03-CA</td>
<td>261 Rapier Avenue</td>
<td>Jeffrey Cosgrove</td>
<td>Erect 7’ capped privacy fence in rear yard as per submitted plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020-03-CA</td>
<td>950 Palmetto Street</td>
<td>Jimmy Novak, Owner/ SOS Ironworks, Contractor</td>
<td>Install 4’ high metal fence with matching gate at sidewalk in front yard; install 6’ high metal fence with 12’ single leaf gate at driveway in side yard, as per submitted plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021-03-CA</td>
<td>1554 Monterey Place</td>
<td>Ray and Laura Palmer</td>
<td>Construct rear addition, measuring 34’ –2 ½” x 26’ – 6”, containing 620 square feet, as per submitted plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022-03-CA</td>
<td>1054 Selma Street</td>
<td>Leonard Washington</td>
<td>Remove existing 10’ x 20’ rear porch and reconstruct new den area, measuring 16’ x 20’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023-03-CA</td>
<td>1 Houston Street</td>
<td>York &amp; Legg, Owners, IDI Image Designs, Sign Contractor</td>
<td>Install new medium density foam board, double sided with routed lettering, painted navy blue with cream lettering, as per submitted sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024-03-CA</td>
<td>206 State Street</td>
<td>Waterfront Rescue Mission, Owner/ Don Williams, Engineer</td>
<td>Construct an 8 car parking lot for off-street parking as per submitted design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025-03-CA</td>
<td>1058 Church Street</td>
<td>John Luce</td>
<td>Construct 6’ wood privacy fence with chamfered top and 6” snake board at bottom as per submitted design.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. ADJOURNMENT**
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

004-02/03 – CA  714 Dauphin Street

Applicant:  Tony Cooper
Received:  10/8/02  10/29/02  12/20/02  Meeting Date (s):
Submission Date + 45 Days:  11/21/02  1)  10/21/02  2)11/04/02  3)1/13/03

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District:  Lower Dauphin Street Commercial Historic District
Classification:  Non-Contributing
Zoning:  B-4; General Business
Additional Permits Required:  (2) Building, Demolition
History of Project:  The Board approved this project as submitted at the November 4, 2002 meeting. The owner is now requesting to alter the location of the entrances. The previously-approved plans had entrances off Dauphin and Scott Streets. The revised plans reflect entrances off Scott and Springhill Avenue. The proposed Dauphin Street elevation would have three storefront openings, as reflected in the plan. The proposed Springhill Avenue elevation would have an entrance facing the proposed parking lot. Copies of previous comments and minutes are attached for Board review.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Lower Dauphin Street Commercial District Design Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Demolish non-historic rear portion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, B, Example 3</td>
<td>Original Design Slightly Altered</td>
<td>Reconfigure existing façade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, C, 1</td>
<td>Overall Character</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, C, 2</td>
<td>Exterior Materials and Finishes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>Construct new rear wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STAFF COMMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The proposed design is compatible with the Lower Dauphin Street Commercial District Design Guidelines.
2. The proposed design is compatible with existing adjacent buildings in terms of rhythm established by window openings.
3. Proposed materials are in keeping with the compatibility of adjacent historic buildings.
4. The demolition of the non-historic and deteriorated rear of the building is acceptable as the loss would not impair the historic integrity of the district.
5. The proposed new addition is in keeping with the design and scale of the proposed changes to the adjacent historic building.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
**APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS**

018-02/03 – CA 1738 Hunter Avenue

**Applicant:** Arthur and Karen Green

**Received:** 12/16/02  **Meeting Date (s):**

**Submission Date + 45 Days:** 1/30/03  1) 1/13/03  2) 3)

---

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:** Old Dauphin Way Historic District  
**Classification:** Contributing  
**Zoning:** R-1, Residential  
**Additional Permits Required:** (2) Building, Fence  
**Nature of Project:** Construct raised treated wood deck, measuring 12’ x 26’, complete with handrail (design provided by MHDC), and lattice wood skirting.  
Install 4’ high wood picket fence, constructed of ½” x 4” cypress pickets spaced 1 ½” apart, with Gothic top, in rear yard as per site plan.

---

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**

**Design Review Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Site Considerations</td>
<td>Construct 12’ x 26’ deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences Walls and Gates</td>
<td>Install 4’ high wood picket fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**STAFF COMMENTS**

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The proposed deck design is compatible with the Design Review Guidelines.  
   a. the deck will not be visible from the street

2. The proposed wood picket fencing is compatible with the Design Review Guidelines  
   a. Gothic wood picket is an approved fencing design and material as stated in the Guidelines

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

019-02/03 – CA 261 Rapier Avenue
Applicant: Jeffrey Cosgrove
Received: 12/02/02
Submission Date + 45 Days: 1/16/03
Meeting Date (s): 1) 1/13/03 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden Historic District
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1, Residential
Additional Permits Required: (1) Fence
Variance Required: Side yard setback variance required. On the BoA Agenda for Jan. 6, 2003. Staff comments are for approval of the variance.
Nature of Project: Install 7’ high wood privacy fence, constructed of ½” x 6” cedar slats, with chamfered cap, in rear yard and side yard as per site plan.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences Walls and Gates</td>
<td>Install 7’ high wood privacy fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The Design Review Guidelines limit fence height to 6’ in residential neighborhoods. However, the applicant has cited two 7’ high fences and three 8’ high fences on surrounding corners
   a. the proposed fence design is an approved fencing material as stated in the Guidelines;
   b. the Review Board has historically made exceptions to this rule in cases where a compelling argument has been presented;
   c. this property is a corner lot and a 7’ fence could be justified.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

020-02/03 – CA 950 Palmetto Street
Applicant: Jimmy Novak
Received: 11/22/02 Meeting Date (s): Submission Date + 45 Days: 1/05/03 1) 1/13/03 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden Historic District
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1, Residential
Additional Permits Required: (1) Fence
Nature of Project: Install 4’ and 6’ high metal fence, constructed of 5/8” square pickets with 1” square rails, spaced 3 13/16” between pickets; panel width 72 ¾”. 4’ to be located in front yard, facing Palmetto Street; 6’ high to be located along Marine Street. Matching gates to be placed at the sidewalk and the driveway.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences Walls and Gates</td>
<td>Install 4’ and 6’ high metal fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The Design Review Guidelines allow fencing that is aluminum and appears to be iron.
   a. the proposed fence design is an approved fencing material as stated in the Guidelines

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

021-02/03 – CA 1554 Monterey Place

Applicant: Ray and Laura Palmer
Received: 12/20/02
Submission Date + 45 Days: 2/04/03

Meeting Date(s): 1) 1/13/03 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way Historic District
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1, Residential

Additional Permits Required: (4) Building, plumbing, electrical, hvac

Nature of Project: Construct one story rear addition, measuring 24’-6” x 34’-2 ½”.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Piers, Foundation and Foundation Infill</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exterior Materials and Finishes</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Doors and Doorways</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Blinds, Shutters and Awnings</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Roofs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The continuous brick foundation with water table will match that of the main residence.
2. The exterior wood siding will match that of the main residence, and will be painted to match the existing color scheme.
3. The new wood double patio doors with single panes are compatible with glazing massing of the two-over-two wood windows.
4. Existing two-over-two wood windows will be removed and reused in the addition. An existing Queen Anne double square window will be reused in a new location. An existing, non-historic aluminum window will be removed and replaced with a new wood two-over-two window.
5. Existing wood louvered blinds will be removed from the rear elevation and reused on the side elevation.
6. The pitch of the gable roof is compatible with the existing roof of the main house. The fiberglass asphalt shingles are appropriate according to the guidelines.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

022-02/03 – CA 1054 Selma Street

**Applicant:** Leonard Washington

**Received:** 12/20/02  **Meeting Date (s):**

Submission Date + 45 Days: 2/04/03  1) 1/13/03  2) 3)

---------

**INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION**

**Historic District:** Oakleigh Garden Historic District

**Classification:** Non-Contributing

**Zoning:** R-1, Residential

**Additional Permits Required:** (5) Demolition, building, plumbing, electrical, hvac

**Nature of Project:** Remove existing rear shed porch. Construct one story rear addition, measuring 16’ x 20’.

---------

**APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT**

*Design Review Guidelines*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Remove existing shed porch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Piers, Foundation and Foundation Infill</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exterior Materials and Finishes</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Doors and Doorways</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Roofs</td>
<td>Construct rear addition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------

**STAFF COMMENTS**

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The existing shed porch with concrete block and concrete floor are not historic and detract from the character of the structure and the district.
2. The continuous brick foundation will match that of the main residence.
3. The exterior wood siding will match that of the main residence, and will be painted to match the existing color scheme.
4. The new wood six-panel door is compatible with the residence.
5. Existing wood windows will be removed and reused in the addition.
6. The pitch of the shed roof is compatible with the existing roof of the main house. The fiberglass asphalt shingles are appropriate according to the guidelines.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.
INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way Historic District
Classification: Non-Contributing (New Construction)
Zoning: B-1, Buffer Business

Nature of Project: Install medium density foam painted navy with cream lettering. Double sided oval sign to measure 33.6 sf.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Mounting and Placement</td>
<td>Install new sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Install new sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Install new sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Install new sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>Install new sign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. The proposed new sign will be mounted between two existing brick pillars.
2. The oval design of the sign is not in keeping with the rectilinear profile of the building.
   a. the current sign has an arched top and a straight bottom, elevated from the brick about 6"
   b. a rectangular sign, resting on the brick sill, would be a more compatible design.
3. The size of the sign is within the maximum allowed by the Guidelines.
   a. the maximum allowable is 64 sf.; the request is for 33.6 sf.
4. The medium density foam is not directly addressed by the Guidelines, but has been approved by the Review Board for other locations.
5. Existing ground-mounted flood lights will be reused.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as amended, changing the shape of the sign from oval to rectangular.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

024-02/03 – CA 206 State Street
Applicant: Waterfront Rescue Mission, Applicant/Don Williams, Owner Representative
Received: 12/27/02 Meeting Date (s):
Submission Date + 45 Days: 2/11/03 1) 1/13/03 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: DeTonti Square Historic District
Classification: Non-Contributing (vacant lot)
Zoning: R-B, Residential Business
Additional Permits Required:

Variances: The applicants have applied for planning approval to allow the construction of this parking lot.
Nature of Project: Construct 8 car asphalt-paved parking lot with 3’ setback from sidewalk along State Street, screened with a 3’ wood picket fence.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Drives, Walks and Parking</td>
<td>Construct asphalt parking lot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. Parking lots are allowed in historic districts if sited and designed appropriately.
2. Asphalt paving is not allowed in historic districts.
   a. alternative materials such as grass pavers or pea gravel are recommended
   b. concrete may be used if adequate drainage is provided
3. A 3’ high buffer is required by both the Design Review Guidelines and by Urban Development
   a. the proposed 3’ high buffer is wood fencing
   b. a low masonry wall or landscaped hedge would be more appropriate, and less of a maintenance issue
4. Landscaping for the parking lot should meet the standards imposed by Urban Development

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as amended, noting the paving material and the buffer material.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS – STAFF COMMENTS

025-02/03 – CA 1058 Church Street

Applicant: John Luce
Received: 12/27/02
Submission Date + 45 Days: 2/11/03
Meeting Date(s): 1) 1/13/03 2) 3)

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden Historic District
Classification: Contributing (one of the Springhill Avenue houses)
Zoning: R-1, Residential
Additional Permits Required:
Nature of Project: Construct 6’ high wood privacy fence with snake board and chamfered cap as per submitted plans.

APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF GUIDELINES and DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

Design Review Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fences, Walls and Gates</td>
<td>Construct 6’ privacy fence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAFF COMMENTS

Based on information contained in the Application, in Staff’s judgement:

1. 6’ wood privacy fences are allowed in rear yards according to the Guidelines.
   a. the fence will have a chamfered cap to conceal the top edge of the fence boards
   b. the fence will have a 6” snake board, a historical treatment of the bottom of the fence.

Staff suggests that the Review Board approve the application as submitted.