AGENDA
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
October 30, 2006 – 3:00 P.M.
Mayor's Pre-Council Chamber – Mobile Government Plaza
205 Government Street

A. CALL TO ORDER – Chair

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Approval of Mid-Month Requests Approved by Staff

B. MID-MONTH APPROVALS

1. Applicant's Name: Affordable Roofing
   Property Address: 901 Government Street
   Date of Approval: September 27, 2006
   Install torch applied modified flat roof to match existing.

2. Applicant's Name: Alex J. Kraft
   Property Address: 1219 Texas Street
   Date of Approval: September 29, 2006
   Repair eaves as necessary with new wood to match existing in profile and dimension. Install new roof using Tamko shingles in Old English Pewter.

3. Applicant's Name: Tracy O'Brien
   Property Address: 157 Charles Street
   Date of Approval: October 2, 2006
   Repair asbestos shingle roof over porch to match existing. Replace non-conforming front porch to match original, including box columns with capital & base detail, square picket balustrade, 1x4 tongue & groove deck and brick stairs. Repaint to match existing.

4. Applicant's Name: John Carpenter
   Property Address: 153 Dauphin Street
   Date of Approval: October 2, 2006
   Install double-faced 30x30 projecting painted wood sign hung by chains from existing standard. Illuminate sign with existing goose neck light.

5. Applicant's Name: Anne and Hastings Read
   Property Address: 1225 Selma Street
   Date of Approval: October 3, 2006
   Replace rotten wood as necessary with new materials to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Paint teahouse to match existing main house color scheme.

6. Applicant's Name: Philip Foster
   Property Address: 68 North Reed Street
   Date of Approval: October 4, 2006
   Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension and materials. Replace broken window glass to match existing. Paint with the following Sherwin Williams colors:
   - Body – Sage, SW2860
   - Trim – Dover White, SW6385
   - Accent and Porch – Polished Mahogany, SW2838

7. Applicant's Name: Norman Figures/Global Roofing Company
   Property Address: 654 St. Michael
   Date of Approval: October 5, 2006
   Install new Certinteed 3-tab shingle roof in Dove Gray to match existing in profile, dimension and color.
8. **Applicant's Name:** Pallottian Construction  
**Property Address:** 456 Broad Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 5, 2006  
Install helper piers as necessary.

9. **Applicant's Name:** Jaime Betbeze  
**Property Address:** 1210 Selma Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 6, 2006  
Replace rotten wood on front porch as necessary with materials to match existing in profile and dimension. Paint to match existing color scheme.

10. **Applicant's Name:** Fred South Construction Company  
**Property Address:** 203 Dauphin Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 10, 2006  
Repair windows, window casings and rotten wood as necessary on façade. Paint to match existing colors.

11. **Applicant's Name:** Carla Sharrow  
**Property Address:** 1611 Government Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 10, 2006  
Repair rotten wood as necessary with new wood to match existing in dimension and profile. Paint exterior in the following color scheme:  
- Body – Faint Coral or Quaint Peche  
- Trim – White

12. **Applicant's Name:** Michael Mastro  
**Property Address:** 33 McPhillips  
**Date of Approval:** October 10, 2006  
Reroof house in Medium Gray, GAF shingles. Repair rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Paint in existing color scheme.

13. **Applicant's Name:** Arthur Madden  
**Property Address:** 465 Dauphin Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 11, 2006  
Repaint building in the existing color scheme.

14. **Applicant's Name:** Lenna Romero  
**Property Address:** 116 Espejo Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 11, 2006  
Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Paint to match.

15. **Applicant's Name:** Richard E. and Cynthia Longmire  
**Property Address:** 955 Palmetto Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 11, 2006  
Replace rotten wood as necessary to match existing in profile, dimension and material. Replace missing lattice in foundation to match existing. Paint in existing color scheme.

16. **Applicant's Name:** Applied Marine Design, LLC  
**Property Address:** 308 Congress Street  
**Date of Approval:** October 12, 2006  
Install Sunbrella fabric Dubonnet Tweed canvas awning over rear door.

17. **Applicant's Name:** Gordon Armstrong III  
**Property Address:** 213 Lanier Avenue  
**Date of Approval:** October 13, 2006  
Replace rotten wood as necessary with materials to match existing in profile, dimension and materials. Repaint house in the following Devoe color scheme:  
- Body – Cambric Tea, 953  
- Trim – Brilliant White  
- Step Hand Rail – Black
C. NOTICES OF VIOLATION and MUNICIPAL OFFENSE TICKETS

1. No NoVs or MoTs were written during this time period.

D. NEW BUSINESS

1. **113-06-CA**: 909 Elmira Street  
   **Applicant**: Joia Juzang  
   **Request**: Remove shed roof addition to construct rear deck. Add French doors and wood steps to deck. Replace rotten wood on front porch and add guardrail. Replace existing concrete steps at front porch with wood ones. Replace existing aluminum windows with wood ones. Replace existing front door with new one. Remove chain link fence. Enlarge east side addition and reclad. Add vent to front gable. Add lattice between piers. Repaint.

2. **114-06-CA**: 310 Marine Street  
   **Applicant**: Oakleigh Venture Revolving Fund/Douglas Kearley, architect  
   **Request**: Repair or replace building materials and architectural features to match existing. Remove chain link fence. Install 3’6” picket fence on front of property and 6’ wood privacy fence on rear of property. Install Bahamian Limestone driveway. Remove storm damaged trees that fell on the north side of house. Install roof.

3. **115-06-CA**: 1115 Montauk Avenue  
   **Applicant**: Joseph Sejud  
   **Request**: Extend 6’ wood privacy fence at western boundary of property.

4. **116-06-CA**: 1156 Palmetto Street  
   **Applicant**: Ginger & Sindney Harrell  
   **Request**: Construct rear porch on existing deck, reusing existing railings. Enlarge breakfast room to the east ± 2’4” and continue porch roof to cover new addition. Replace existing shed roof on east-side extension with the new porch/addition roof. Paint to match existing colors.

5. **117-06-CA**: 64 Bradford Avenue  
   **Applicant**: Paul Morris  
   **Request**: Reclad entire house with 5” pine lap siding. Remove awnings at windows.

E. OTHER BUSINESS and ANNOUNCEMENTS

F. ADJOURNMENT
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

113-06-CA: 909 Elmira Street
Applicant: Joia Juzang
Received: 10/04/06 (+45 Days: 11/18/06)
Meeting: 10/30/06

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Remove shed roof addition to construct rear deck. Add French doors and wood steps to deck. Replace rotten wood on front porch and add guardrail. Replace existing concrete steps at front porch with wood ones. Replace existing aluminum windows with wood ones. Replace existing front door with new one. Remove chain link fence. Enlarge east side addition and reclad. Add vent to front gable. Add lattice between piers. Repaint.

BUILDING HISTORY

This one-story frame residence was built circa 1910.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…

STAFF REPORT

A. Remove Addition and Construct Rear Deck
   1. Currently, the proposed rear deck on this residence has already been completed.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “new additions…shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be…compatible with the massing, size, scale and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.”
   3. Remove non-historic shed roof addition located on the rear of the building.
   4. Construct 10’x24’ wood deck on existing brick piers.
   5. Fill-in façade opening with pine lap siding to match existing.
   6. Add French doors centered on façade that lead to deck.
   7. Add wood steps from deck to ground.

B. Front Porch Alterations
   1. Currently, the proposed front porch improvements are being completed.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that porches “should…reflect their period [and] maintain their historic appearance. Materials should blend with the style of the building.”
   3. Replace rotted wood posts and deck with materials to match existing.
   4. Replace concrete staircase with new wood staircase.
   5. Add wood guardrail with simple square balusters.

C. Replace Windows and Door
   1. Currently, the proposed window and door replacements are being completed. However, the windows being replaced are non-historic aluminum alterations of the original wood windows. The door being replaced is also a later alteration of the original.
   2. For windows, the Design Review Guidelines state that “original window openings should be retained as well as original window sashes and glazing. Where windows cannot be repaired, new windows…should be compatible with the general character of the building.”
   3. Replace aluminum sash windows on all elevations with wood sash windows, retaining the original window openings.
4. Replace rotted wood sash kitchen windows with wood side-by-side windows, decreasing the size of the original window openings in order to fit the interior layout of the kitchen.
5. Remove non-historic aluminum paired sash windows at front porch with one single-pane non-opening wood window with artificial muntins, enlarging the window opening. It is necessary to note that the non-historic aluminum paired sash windows were shorter than the original wood sash windows, therefore, the integrity of the historic window opening has already been impaired.
6. For doors, the Design Review Guidelines state that "original doors and openings should be retained…replacements should respect the age and style of the building."
7. Replace non-historic front door with a Mahogany door with a large tempered-glass single light and two decorative panels on the bottom.

D. Remove Chain Link Fence
1. Currently, this residence has a chain link fence. The property is approximately 54’x155’. The front façade faces north. There are no plans to install a new fence at this time.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that fences "…should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic Districts."
3. Remove the damaged non-historic chain link fence surrounding the property.

E. Enlarge East Side Addition
1. Currently, this proposed enlargement has already been completed.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “new additions…shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be…compatible with the massing, size, scale and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.”
3. Extend the later east side addition approximately 1’ to the rear.
4. Reclad addition in pine lap siding to match existing.

F. Other
1. Install a small attic vent in the front gable.
2. Add lattice infill between piers.
3. Replace rotted wood siding with pine lap siding to match existing.
4. Paint all to match existing.

RECOMMENDATION

It is important to note that most of these proposed improvements have either already been or are being completed. Staff feels that items A, B, C1-3 and D-F are typical and common updates of historic properties. Additionally, some of the historic characteristics of the building that were lost may be restored with the current improvements. Staff feels that although item C4 decreases the size of the current window openings, approval is acceptable. They are located at the rear of the building and are sized to allow space for the updated kitchen. Staff feels that although item C5 increases the size of the current window opening, approval is acceptable. The window opening had already been resized with the previous alteration, therefore, its integrity has already been impaired. The proportions of the larger window are also more compatible to the building. However, staff feels that sash windows would be more appropriate. Staff feels that we need more specific information for item C7.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

114-06-CA: 310 Marine Street
Applicant: Oakleigh Venture Revolving Fund/Douglas Kearley, architect
Received: 10/04/06 (+45 Days: 11/18/06)
Meeting: 10/30/06

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Repair or replace building materials and architectural features to match existing. Remove chain link fence. Install 3'6" picket fence on front of property and 6' wood privacy fence on rear of property. Install Bahamian Limestone driveway. Remove storm damaged trees that fell on the north side of house. Install new roof.

BUILDING HISTORY

This one-story frame residence was built in 1897.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. Repair or Replace Building Materials and Architectural Features
   1. Currently, this residence is not in good condition. There are broken windows, cracked masonry, rotting wood and missing architectural elements. The brick piers need to be leveled and repointed. Water damage is evident throughout.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “original [elements] should be retained and repaired. Replacement…when required, must match the original in profile, dimension and material.”
   3. Repair existing front porch columns, gingerbread detailing and masonry.
   4. Replace existing front porch guardrail with new rail consisting of flat sawn ¾" balusters.
   5. Repair or replace as necessary all doors and windows to match existing in placement, size and materials.
   6. Repair or replace as necessary all siding to match existing in size and materials.
   7. Level and repoint the existing brick piers.
   8. Repair or replace as necessary side porch columns and guardrail.
   9. Replace existing side porch stairs with wood steps and guardrail.
   10. Repaint with colors to be submitted at a later date.

B. Remove Chain Link Fence and Install New Fences
   1. Currently, this residence has a non-historic chain link fence. The property is approximately 50'x67'. The front façade faces east.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that fences “…should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic Districts.”
   3. Remove the damaged non-historic chain link fence surrounding the property.
   4. Install a 6' wood privacy fence along the rear (west) boundary and extending 25’ towards the front (east) along the north and south boundaries.
   5. Install a 3'6" picket fence along the front (east) boundary and extending 25’ towards the back (west) along the north and south boundaries, leaving an opening for the driveway.
   6. Install an additional small section of picket fence placed flush with the north façade of the house to separate the front yard from the driveway.
7. Add a pair of 3'x3'6” gates centered with the front steps.
8. Fences of this type are common throughout historic districts.

C. Install Bahamian Limestone Driveway
1. Currently, this residence has an overgrown grass driveway on the right hand side of the property.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that "modern paving materials are acceptable in the historic district."
3. Repave driveway with 4” thick Bahamian Limestone on compacted base, maintaining the proportions of existing.

D. Remove Storm Damaged Trees and Install New Roof
1. Currently, there are two fallen trees on the property that damaged the north side of the roof during one of the previous storms.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that "historic roof forms [and] pitch…should be maintained. Materials should be appropriate to the form and pitch and color."
3. Remove storm damaged trees from the property.
4. Repair the damaged section of roof.
5. Install new fiberglass/asphalt Timberline shingles on 15# felt with 6 nails per shingle.

RECOMMENDATION

The proposed improvements are typical and common updates of historic properties. Staff feels that these improvements will not negatively impact the integrity of the building or the district and recommends approval of items A-C and D4&5. Staff recommends approval for item D3, however, the applicant must get a permit from Urban Forestry before proceeding.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

115-06-CA: 1115 Montauk Avenue
Applicant: Joseph Sejud
Received: 10/11/06 (+45 Days: 11/25/06)
Meeting: 10/30/06

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Extend 6' wood privacy fence at western boundary of property.

BUILDING HISTORY

This two-story frame residence was built circa 1900.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. Extend Wood Privacy Fence Along Western Boundary of Property
   1. Currently, this residence has a 6' wood privacy fence that surrounds most of the rear half of the property.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that fences “…should compliment the building and not detract from it. Design, scale, placement and materials should be considered along with their relationship to the Historic Districts.”
   3. Extend the 6’ wood privacy fence along the western boundary of the property, maintaining a setback of approximately 45’ from the curb.
   4. Match new boards to existing 6” wide unpainted dog-eared boards.
   5. Fences of this type are common throughout historic districts.

RECOMMENDATION

The proposed improvements are typical and common updates of historic properties. Staff feels that these improvements will not negatively impact the integrity of the building or the district. It is necessary to note, however, that there is a property line dispute between Mr. Sejud and his neighbor at 1117 Montauk Avenue. Therefore, while staff recommends approval of the application, MHDC cannot issue a permit until this dispute is resolved.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF COMMENTS

116-06-CA: 1156 Palmetto Street
Applicant: Ginger & Sindney Harrell
Received: 10/16/06 (+45 Days: 11/30/06)
Meeting: 10/30/06

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Oakleigh Garden
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Construct rear porch on existing deck, reusing existing railings. Enlarge breakfast room to the east ± 2’4” and continue porch roof to cover new addition. Replace existing shed roof on east-side extension with the new porch/addition roof. Paint to match existing colors.

BUILDING HISTORY

According to a previous historic resource survey conducted in 1986, this two-story frame residence was built in 1899 in what was known as a “modern renaissance” style. Originally owned by Charles T. Hearin, this is “one of nine two-story frame buildings in this block [owned by Mr. Hearin] which were constructed on the same plan…by Rudolph Benz. [It] is a rather unique example of speculative building in the city.”

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that “the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…”

STAFF REPORT

A. Construct Rear Porch on Existing Deck
   1. Currently, there is an approximately 8’x20’ wood deck at the rear of the residence. It has a guardrail with turned post balusters and stairs leading to the ground located at the center. This is a non-historic addition to the residence.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “historic porches should…reflect their period.” Although the deck is non-historic, its “form and shape…and materials should blend in with the style of the building.”
   3. Install a partial height hipped roof with fiberglass shingles on 15# felt (6 nails per shingle) to match existing, centering the ridge with the main staircase.
   4. Add eight chamfered wood posts with decorative capitals at regular intervals along the sides and paired at the corners.
   5. Reuse existing guardrail, sawing down the balusters to shorten it to 3’ tall.
   6. Build a new staircase with wood steps leading to ground.
   7. Build a secondary wood staircase at the southeast corner of the porch.
   8. Replace existing multi-pane windows on the left side of the rear façade with paired 3’x7’x1¾” exterior Spanish cedar doors with clear tempered true divided lights and a transom to match existing window height.
   9. Install an Isokern “Patio Series” fireplace with a DM44 Isokern brick veneer chimney at the west side of the porch.
   10. Paint to match existing.

B. Enlarge Breakfast Room
   1. Currently, there is a small one-story breakfast room extension on the east side of the building with a pair of small two-over-two sash windows.
   2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “new additions…shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be…compatible with the massing, size, scale and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.”
3. Square the northeast corner of the building flush with existing façades.
4. Match the brick piers with lattice infill and wood siding to existing.
5. Clad in siding to match existing.
6. Reuse existing multi-light windows from the east façade.
7. Replace, if possible, existing two-over-two windows with the multi-light windows removed from the rear façade for the new door to the porch.
8. Paint to match existing.

C. Replace Existing Shed Roof with New Porch/Addition Roof
1. Currently, there is a shed roof on the small breakfast room extension on the east side of the building.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that “historic roof forms, as well as the original pitch…should be maintained. Materials should be appropriate to the form and pitch and color.”
3. Replace existing shed roof with a new shed roof extending from the porch.
4. Install fiberglass shingles on 15# felt (6 nails per shingle) to match existing

RECOMMENDATION

Staff feels that the proposed improvements in item A will not negatively impact the integrity of the building or the district. They affect an existing non-historic deck at the rear of the property, which will remain open to the outdoors and replicate the elements of the front porch, as well as reuse existing materials. The proposed door leading to the porch will maintain the look and proportions of the existing window. Staff feels that items B and C will not negatively impact the integrity of the building or the district. The proposed addition maintains the lines and materials of the house. Staff recommends approval of the application and strongly suggests that the owners accept the option outlined in item B7.
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
STAFF COMMENTS

117-06-CA: 64 Bradford Avenue
Applicant: Paul Morris
Received: 10/20/06 (+45 Days: 12/04/06)
Meeting: 10/30/06

INTRODUCTION TO THE APPLICATION

Historic District: Old Dauphin Way
Classification: Contributing
Zoning: R-1
Project: Reclad entire house with 5" pine lap siding. Remove awnings at windows.

BUILDING HISTORY

This one-story frame residence was built circa 1946.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Section 9 of the Historic Preservation Ordinance states that "the Board shall not approve any application proposing a Material Change in Appearance unless it finds that the proposed change…will not materially impair the architectural or historic value of the building, the buildings on adjacent sites or in the immediate vicinity, or the general visual character of the historic district…"

STAFF REPORT

A. Reclad House and Remove Awnings
1. Currently, this residence is clad with 105 siding, much of it rotted. There is vertical wood siding embellishment above and beneath the windows.
2. The Design Review Guidelines state that "original siding should be retained and repaired. Replacement of exterior finishes, when required, must match the original in profile, dimension and materials."
3. Replace Pattern 105 siding and vertical siding embellishments with 5" pine lap siding.
4. Remove the non-historic awnings from the windows.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff feels that the "exterior material of a building helps define its style, quality and historic period." Although wood lap siding is appropriate to the area and will not negatively impact the integrity of the district, it will certainly alter the building significantly. Therefore, staff recommends that the rotted wood be replaced with siding to match the existing siding. Should the ARB approve the lap siding, staff recommends that the vertical embellishments at the windows, which are original to the house and give it character, be retained. Staff recommends approval to remove the awnings.